PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

To

FLINT, Jonathan McNeill 765 Finchley Road LONDON NW11 8DS GRANDE BRETAGNE

PCT

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(PCT Rule 71.1)

Date of mailing

(day/month/year)

30.06.2005

Priority date (day/month/year)

Applicant's or agent's file reference

0300192

IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION

International application No. PCT/GB2004/002878

International filing date (day/month/year)

09.07.2003

02.07.2004

Applicant

SMITHS GROUP PLC et al.

- 1. The applicant is hereby notified that this International Preliminary Examining Authority transmits herewith the international preliminary report on patentability and its annexes, if any, established on the international application.
- 2. A copy of the report and its annexes, if any, is being transmitted to the International Bureau for communication to all the elected Offices.
- 3. Where required by any of the elected Offices, the International Bureau will prepare an English translation of the report (but not of any annexes) and will transmit such translation to those Offices.

4. REMINDER

The applicant must enter the national phase before each elected Office by performing certain acts (filing translations and paying national fees) within 30 months from the priority date (or later in some Offices) (Article 39(1)) (see also the reminder sent by the International Bureau with Form PCT/IB/301).

Where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary report on patentability. It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned.

For further details on the applicable time limits and requirements of the elected Offices, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

The applicant's attention is drawn to Article 33(5), which provides that the criteria of novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability described in Article 33(2) to (4) merely serve the purposes of international preliminary examination and that "any Contracting State may apply additional or different criteria for the purposes of deciding whether, in that State, the claimed inventions is patentable or not" (see also Article 27(5)). Such additional criteria may relate, for example, to exemptions from patentability, requirements for enabling disclosure, clarity and support for the claims.

Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:

)

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465 **Authorized Officer**

Wicha, M

Tel. +49 89 2399-7281



PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 0300192			FOR FURTHER A	CTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416		
	rnational application T/GB2004/00287		International filing date 02.07.2004	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/ye	ear)	
1	rnational Patent Clas 1M5/145	ssification (IPC) or na	ational classification and I	PC			
	Applicant SMITHS GROUP PLC et al.						
1.	 This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36. 						
2.	2. This REPORT consists of a total of 5 sheets, including this cover sheet.						
3.	. This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, comprising:						
	a. \square sent to the applicant and to the International Bureau) a total of sheets, as follows:						
	sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).						
	sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box.						
!	b. (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s)), containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).						
This report contains indications relating to the following items:							
	☑ Box No. I	Basis of the opin	ion				
	☐ Box No. II	Priority				,	
	☐ Box No. III	•	ent of opinion with rega	rd to novelty, inventive s	tep and industrial applica	bility	
	☐ Box No. IV	Lack of unity of in		•		•	
	☑ Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article applicability; citations and explanat					al	
	☐ Box No. VI	Certain documer	nts cited				
	☐ Box No. VII	Certain defects in	n the international app	lication			
	☐ Box No. VIII	Certain observat	ions on the internation	al application			
Date	Date of submission of the demand			Date of completion of this	report		
15.	12.2004			30.06.2005			
	Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:			Authorized Officer		Sentisches Palance Ap. E.	
	European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465			Krassow, H Telephone No. +49 89 23	99-2096		
						Sub BOING	

10/560965 IAP9 Rec'd PCT/PTO 16 DEC 2009

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/002878

_	Box No. I	Basis of the report					
1.	With regard	With regard to the language , this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.					
	☐ This re which	port is based on translations from the original language into the following language , is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:					
	dua 🖸	rnational search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) lication of the international application (under Rule 12.4) rnational preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)					
2.	have been	With regard to the elements* of the international application, this report is based on <i>(replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):</i>					
	Description	, Pages					
	1-6	as originally filed					
	Claims, Numbers						
	1-8	as originally filed					
	Drawings, Sheets						
	1/5-5/5	as originally filed					
	□ a sequ	ence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing					
3.	☐ The an	☐ The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:					
		description, pages					
		claims, Nos. drawings, sheets/figs					
	☐ the	sequence listing (specify):					
	⊔ any	table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):					
4.	☐ This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).						
		description, pages claims, Nos.					
	☐ the	drawings, sheets/figs					
		sequence listing <i>(specify)</i> : table(s) related to sequence listing <i>(specify)</i> :					
	•	em 4 applies, some or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded."					

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/002878

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-8

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-8

Industrial applicability (IA)

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

Yes: Claims

Claims

No:

1-8

see separate sheet

Re Item V

Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: US-A-5 425 716 (MATSUBARA KAZUO ET AL) 20 June 1995 (1995-06-20) D2: US-A-4 838 857 (EDWARDS FLOYD V ET AL) 13 June 1989 (1989-06-13)

1. The subject-matter of claim 1 is not novel (Article 33(3) PCT).

The most relevant prior art is disclosed by D1 which describes a syringe pump including a syringe barrel size sensor mechanism (cf. Fig. 3) including a movable member (51+26) mounted for contact with the syringe barrel (21), a plurality of sensors (opto-couplers 55) and a plurality of flags (formed between the notches of blocking plate 53). The moveable member is arranged to effect relative movement between the flags and the sensors, and each sensor is responsive to a flag to provide a first (logical "1") or second output (logical "0") according to the position of the flag relative to the sensor. The flags and sensors are arranged such that the output of at least one sensor (the lower opto-coupler) changes from the first to the second state and then back to the first state for relative movement between the flags and the sensors in one direction (cf. col. 6, l. 9-24).

Moreover, **D2**, Fig. 4, also teaches a syringe pump with syringe barrel size sensor mechanism consisting of a plurality of sensors (82, 84, 85, 86) and a plurality of flags (91, 92, 94), wherein the output of the sensors change from a first state to a second state and back for relative movement between sensors and flags in one direction (cf. col. 5, l. 46 - col. 6, l. 24), i.e. D2 is also novelty destroying.

- 2. The additional features of **dependent claims 2-8** do **not** appear suitable for rendering the subject-matter of any claim to which said dependent claims refer either novel (Article 33(3) PCT) or inventive (Article 33(4) PCT).
 - Claims 2, 3: D1 anticipates that the sensor-flag arrangement provides a 3-bit digital

encoding signal (col. 6, I. 18-24), which means, that this provides for a maximum of 2³ different syringe sizes in case **all** the sensors change their state from the first to the second state and back. D2 teaches the recognition of 6 different syringe sizes using also three sensors.

- Claims 4, 5: A pivotable arm for contacting the syringe barrel with overcenter action is taught by D2.
- Claim 6: D1 and D2 show flags which are movable with the movable member and sensors fixed to the pump housing.
- Claims 7, 8: D1 teaches optical sensors (opto-couplers 55) consisting of a LED and a photodetector, and both, D1 and D2, teach an arrangement featuring three sensors and three flags.
- 3. The independent claim should have been cast in the **two-part form** (Rule 6.3(b) PCT) with the preamble containing those features known in combination from the closest prior art (**D1**) (Rule 6.3(b)(i) PCT) and the characterising part containing the remaining features (Rule 6.3(b)(ii) PCT).
- 4. D1 and D2 should have been briefly discussed (Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT) in the description.